

Schedule 1 – Academic Promotion Committees

Authority	Academic Promotion Policy
Effective date	18 May 2020
Review date	1 March 2021
Owner	Deputy Vice-Chancellor Education
Version no.	1.0
Contact	academic.promotions@rmit.edu.au
Register reference	POL/2020/00025

Purpose

RMIT Academic Promotion Committees are formed under the authority of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor Education. College-level committees consider applications for promotion to levels C and D and a central committee considers applications for promotion to Level E. All committees make promotion recommendations to the University Academic Promotion Committee.

Membership

The members of the Academic Promotion Committees will be drawn from a pool of candidates comprising previous successful applicants and committee members, and any new academic staff members deemed appropriate by the DVC Education. Membership can be from any RMIT location. All committees will strive to achieve gender balance and represent the diversity of the RMIT academic staff population. The DVC Education will have final approval of the membership of all Academic Promotion Committees, in consultation with the Chair of Academic Board.

There will be college Academic Promotion Committees for applications to levels C and D with the following membership:

- The DVC (or professorial nominee) of the applicant's College or relevant discipline, who will Chair the committee
- A suitably qualified academic employee from each College (whose academic level is at least the level to which promotion is sought)

There will be a central Academic Promotion Committee for applications to level E with the following membership:

- The DVC Education, who will Chair the committee
- The DVC Research & Innovation (or professorial nominee)
- The DVC (or professorial nominee) of each College
- A professorial nominee of the DVC Education and Chair of Academic Board for each College

Academic Promotion Committees will have the following non-attending committee members:

- At least one independent moderator, within RMIT, nominated by the DVC Education in consultation with the Chair of Academic Board.

The moderator(s) may attend committee meetings at their discretion and ensure committee compliance with policy, procedure and the principles of equity and fairness. The moderator(s) will review a sample of applications to ensure consistency in committee scores rather than serve as a full committee member.

- For Academic Promotion Committees for applications to levels D and E, up to two external assessors will be invited to provide an 'in-confidence' assessment of the application rather than serving as full committee members.

The committee will seek their views but the committee itself will exercise the final judgment. External assessors may be an external Associate Professor/Professor from another institution and/or an expert external to the University. For applications to level E, at least one external assessor must be a full Professor (not an Associate Professor).

Terms of Reference

The functions of the committees are to assess applications based on the merit and equity of each in order to make a recommendation to the University Academic Promotion Committee (UAPC) to promote or not promote each applicant.

In making their assessments, the committees are to consider the following:

- applicant's written application, demonstrating standards of performance in the domains of the Academic Promotion Framework selected and the applicant's record of academic achievement and impact
- any applicable Promotion Benchmark Reports
- a report from the relevant Dean/Executive Dean or equivalent
- the applicant's response to the Dean/Executive Dean or equivalent report (if applicable)
- referee reports (for applicants applying to level C only)
- external assess reports (provided to the committee 'in confidence' for applicants applying to level D or E only)

The following conditions apply to committee deliberations:

- all materials and deliberations relating to academic promotion applications will be treated in the strictest confidence by all participants in the promotion process
- committee members shall not discuss applications, recommendations or deliberations outside of committee meetings
- committee members must declare any conflict of interest arising from an application
- quorum is half of the number of full committee members plus one full committee member and does not include non-voting members (for college committees the quorum is 3; for the central committee the quorum is 5)
- all correspondence to an Academic Promotion Committee must be made via the Chair
- the names of individual committee members will not be disclosed to applicants and are confidential

More information

- Academic Promotion Policy
- Academic Promotion Appeal Procedure

Document history

Version	Approval date	Effective date	Summary of changes	Approval Authority
1.0	15 May 2020	18 May 2020	New schedule	Deputy Vice-Chancellor Education